



URGENT/TIME BOUND

GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH
FINANCE, PLANNING & INVESTMENT DEPARTMENT
PLANNING & INVESTMENT DIVISION
A.P. CIVIL SECRETARIAT: ITANAGAR

No. PD/BADP(AAP)-01/2019-20 /1112

Dated Itanagar, the 19th February' 2019

To

The Director General, Assam Rifles, C/o 99 APO, Shillong.
The Inspector General, NE Frontier, ITBP, Khating Hill, Itanagar.
The DIG, SSB, Tezpur, Assam.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tawang / West Kameng / East Kameng / Kurung Kumey / Kra Dadi / Upper Subansiri /
Siang / West Siang / Upper Siang / Lower Dibang Valley / Dibang Valley / Anjaw /
Changlang / Longding & Tirap,
Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub: Submission of Schemes under BADP for the year 2019-20

Sir / Madam,

Inviting a reference to the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you to prepare and upload through MIS the BADP Annual Action Plan for 2019-20 in respect of the block wise allocation mentioned at **Annexure – I** and submit a hard copy of the same to the Planning Department by 10/03/2019 for placing before the forth coming meeting of the State Level Screening Committee on BADP for onward submission to the MHA for their approval and release of fund. The AAP should be submitted as per prescribed format by MHA, the same along with all certificates are to be forwarded to MHA for their consideration.

2. The component for 'Model Village' should be included in the AAP within the allocated amount. The DLC may take decision regarding the actual development of the Model village selected earlier. If necessary only after sustainable development of the existing Model village new village may be taken up for development.

3. The block wise allocation has been worked out on the basis of the Budget provisions kept by Gol in the Budget Estimate of Union Budget 2019-20.

4. According to the latest directive of BADP guidelines preference should be given for saturation of the **priority villages indicated by the BGFs** and also the villages located within **0-10 km** from the international border only.

5. The District Level Committee should ensure to have consultation with the concerned Members of Parliament, MLAs, Members of PRIs etc. before finalizing and submitting the schemes to the Planning Department for placing before the SLSC for its approval. A separate certificate in this regard should be enclosed along with the AAP.

6. The District Level Committee is responsible to verify the duplicity of projects prior to submission of AAP to Planning Department. Duplicity, if any, detected at a later stage the proposal will be rejected and dropped. Proportionate amount will be diverted to any other border blocks for taking up better projects.

7. While selecting the schemes by respective Deputy Commissioners, following directives should be strictly adhered to:

i) Concerned DCs will have to judiciously select the schemes strictly as per the guidelines, giving clear details of longitudinal & latitudinal coordinates, to avoid duplicity and convergence with State District Innovative funds & other CSS that are largely need based, welfare oriented and income generating proposals.

ii) Further, emphasis should be on Organic Farming Sector; livelihood issues, doubling of farmers income. Dovetailing it with State Rural Livelihood Mission, MGNREGA, PMAY and AH & Vety. Sector, working it with the objectives of ushering in the white revolution and the blue revolutions must be the guiding philosophy of selecting and generating the portfolio schemes.

Contd...2/P



- iii) Appraisal of Scheme will be done by the SLSC. Convergence with RD, Agri, AH & Vety., Fisheries must be the motto, this year onward. Education & Health Sectors must also receive priority.
 - iv) Time line must be adhered to for seeking approval of SLSC, besides no cost overrun & time over runs will be allowed.
8. Further, following points should also be taken into consideration while selecting the schemes by respective DC at the district level:
- i) The schemes are to be selected strictly in accordance with the revised guidelines (June'2015) and latest instruction of BADP through the District Level Committee to fulfill the gaps indicated in the Base Line Survey.
 - ii) A separate column may be inserted in the format titled 'Whether this scheme ensures convergence with any CSS, and if yes, details'. To address the critical gaps in infrastructure in the border areas, higher preference will be given to schemes which ensure convergence with existing CSS. If necessary, block wise allocation may be modified (within the district) to accommodate for such convergence.
 - iii) It may ensure that no single sector gets a disproportionately large share of the total allocation. Sector wise break up of fund as mentioned in the guidelines should be maintained.
 - iv) Schemes should be designed to take care of the special problems faced by people living in the border areas and to arrest and check their migration to other areas of the State and thinning of the population of the border areas. Schemes for rural and remote areas along the international border should be given preference over schemes for other areas. Individual schemes with detail specific monitorable specifications only are to be proposed. However, individual beneficiary oriented proposals cannot be included under BADP.
 - v) Schemes should be proposed after proper scrutiny to avoid duplicity. Since, change of scheme(s), once proposed, will not be entertained without valid reasons / justifications.
 - vi) As directed by the MHA the Ongoing schemes (if any) are to be incorporated within the allocated amount. To facilitate specific component of the project may be incorporated for completion of the project in a phased manner.
 - vii) The details of trades and number of trainees, days of training to be imparted should be specifically mentioned while proposing projects under Capacity Building and Skill Development Training.
 - viii) The Trade for Skill Development & Training proposals should be clearly mentioned in the AAP
9. Further, while submitting the schemes following should be ensured:
- i) The schemes which will be proposed under BADP should not be proposed / taken-up from any other Central / State funded programmes including DLP, Untied Fund, MLALADs, MPLADs, CSS and normal State Plan. In this regard, the Deputy Commissioners should ensure and submit **Non Duplicacy Certificate (NDC)** separately along with the list of schemes in Annexure – IV(a) and information in Annexure - V(a) & (b) without which proposals will not be considered for placing before the SLSC.
 - ii) The proposals received after the stipulated date / duplicacy noticed / not covered under BADP guidelines / without relevant information and detail specification / not submitted in the prescribed format **will be summarily rejected** and fund proposed against such schemes may be diverted to other border blocks.
 - iii) The Schemes drawn against the Skill Development & Training and against the State Share should be shown separately.
 - iv) The Non Duplicity Certificate and Certificate for consultation with MP, MLA PRIs etc must be signed by the respective Deputy Commissioners only.



-3-

This is issued with the approval of the Chief Secretary cum Chairperson SLSC on BADP.

Enclosed: As stated above.

Yours faithfully

(Himanshu Gupta), IAS
Secretary (Planning & Investment)
Dated Itanagar, the 19th February' 2019

Memo No. PD/BADP(AAP)-01/2019-20 / 1112

Copy to :

1. The PPS to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
2. The PS to the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
3. The PS to the Hon'ble Parliamentary Secretary (Planning), Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
4. The PS to the Chief Secretary, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.
5. The District Planning Officer, Tawang / West Kameng / East Kameng / Kurung Kumey / Kra Dadi / Upper Subansiri / Siang / West Siang / Upper Siang / Lower Dibang Valley / Dibang Valley / Anjaw / Changlang / Longding & Tirap District, Arunachal Pradesh. He is requested to ensure submission of the AAP immediately for further necessary action.

(Himanshu Gupta), IAS
Secretary (Planning & Investment)



Details of Allocation of Fund under BADP during 2019-20

(Rupees in lakh)

Sl No.	Name of the border Blocks	Allocation			Grand Total	Amount of Supplementary AAP along with priority separately for col.3 & col.5
		Normal	Skill Development & Training	Model / Smart Village		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Tawang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
2	Kitpi	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
3	Lumla	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
4	Zemithang-Dudungkhar	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
5	Jang Thingbu	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
6	Mukto-Bonghkar	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
7	Kalaktang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
8	Nafra	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
9	Dirang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
10	Bameng	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
11	Khenewa	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
12	Chayang Tajo	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
13	Nacho	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
14	Siyum	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
15	Limeking	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
16	Damin	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
17	Parsi Parlo	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
18	Sarli	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
19	Koloriang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
20	Pipsorang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
21	Kaying Payum	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
22	Monigong	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
23	Mechuka	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
24	Tuting	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
25	Singa-Gelling	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
26	Jennging	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
27	Hunli	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
28	Anini-Mipi	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
29	Etalin Maliney	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
30	Anelieh-Arzoo	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
31	Hayuliang-Goiliang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
32	Chaglagam	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
33	Manchal	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
34	Hawai-Walong	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
35	Khimiyang	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
36	Khagam	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
37	Manmao	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
38	Nampong	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
39	Yatdam	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
40	Changlang	32.00	3.40	75.00	110.40	15.00
41	Khonsa	32.00	3.40	75.00	110.40	15.00
42	Dadam	32.00	3.40	75.00	110.40	15.00
43	Lazu	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
44	Wakka	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
45	Pongchau	71.00	9.20	75.00	155.20	15.00
46	Niuasa	32.00	3.40	75.00	110.40	15.00
Grand Total		3110.00	400.00	3450.00	6960.00	

* Special allocation for specific villages located within 0-20 km from the International Border.



-2-

The allocation for Border Guarding Forces is:

(Amount in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of the BGFs	Allocation
1	2	3
1	ITBP	551.80
2	Assam Rifles	195.80
3	SSB	53.40
	Total =	801.00

(Himanshu Gupta), IAS
Secretary (Planning & Investment)