## GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH PLANNING DEPARTMENT ITANAGAR

No. PD/BADP(AAP)-1/2017-18

Dated Itanagar the 23<sup>rd</sup> May,2017

To

The Director General, Assam Rifles, C/o 99 APO, Shillong. The Inspector General, NE Frontier, ITBP, Khating Hill, Itanagar. The DIG, SSB, Tezpur, Assam.

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tawang / West Kameng / East Kameng / Kurung Kumey / Kra Dadi / Upper Subansiri / Siang / West Siang / Upper Siang / Lower Dibang Valley / Dibang Valley / Anjaw / Changlang / Longding & Tirap, Arunachal Pradesh.

Sub: Submission of Schemes under BADP for the year 2017-18.

Ref: Letter No.PD/BADP(AAP)-1/2017-18 dtd 16/03/2017.

was to the transfer of the

Sir / Madam,

Inviting a reference to the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you to prepare and submit the BADP Annual Action Plan for 2017-18 in respect of the border areas as per the block wise allocation mentioned at **Annexure** – I and submit the same <a href="mmediately">immediately</a> for placing before the State Level Screening Committee on BADP for onward submission to the MHA for their approval and release of fund.

- 2. The left out schemes of 2016-17 (if any) may be incorporated in the Annual Action Plan 2017-18 if necessary.
- 3. During 2017-18 it was decided by MHA to develop model / smart village with cluster approach closer to the border areas to be included in the block level AAP for implementation in a time frame of 3-4 years in phased manner. At least one village in each border block is to be developed as Model / Smart villages in the coming 4-5 years. This Model / Smart village will become a center of socio economic activities in due course of time which will help to contain migration of people to hinterland. The detail proposal for Model / Smart village is to be prepared in accordance with the guidelines issued by MHA vide No.12/5/2017-BADP dtd 3/02/2017. A copy of which is enclosed here with as Annexure –II for further necessary action. Accordingly, a detail proposal needs to be prepared indicating requirement of fund in the subsequent years for recommendation by the SLSC. Convergence of other CSS / State Sector schemes should be taken care of while preparing the DPR for the same.
- 4. According to the latest directive and BADP guidelines preference should be given for saturation of the **priority villages indicated by the BGFs** and also the villages located within **0-10 km** from the international border. After saturation of those villages proposals may be taken up from the subsequent villages located in **10-20 km**, **20-30 km** thereon.
- 5. The Annual Action Plan is to be uploaded through MIS by the district / block level after consideration by the State Level Screening Committee only. If any difficulties arise at the district level for uploading data in the MIS, concerned DPOs along with operator (if any) may report to headquarter for uploading data.
- 6. The District Level Committee should ensure to have consultation with the concerned Members of Parliament, MLAs, Members of PRIs etc. before finalizing and submitting the schemes to the Planning Department for placing before the SLSC for its approval. A separate certificate in this regard should be enclosed along with the AAP.

South

Contd....P/2

- 7. The District Level Committee is responsible to verify the duplicacy of projects prior finalizing / submitting the AAP to Planning Department. Duplicacy, if any, detected at a latter stage the proposal will be rejected and dropped. Proportionate amount will be diverted to any other border blocks for taking up better projects.
- 8. While selecting the schemes by respective Deputy Commissioners, following directives should be strictly adhered to :
  - i) Concerned DCs will have to judiciously select the schemes strictly as per the guidelines, giving clear details of longitudinal & latitudinal coordinates, to avoid duplicacy and converge with State District Innovative funds & other CSS that are largely need based welfare oriented and income generating.
  - ii) Further, emphasis should be on Organic Farm Sector; livelihood issues, doubling of farmers income. Dovetailing it with State Rural Livelihood Mission, MGNEREGA, PMAY and AH&Vety Sector, working it with the objectives of ushering in the white revolution, sweet revolution and the blue revolutions must be the guiding philosophy of selecting and generating the portfolio schemes.
  - iii) Appraisal of Scheme will be done by the SLSC. Convergence with RD, Agri, AH&Vety, Fisheries must be the motto, this year onward. Education & Health Sectors must also receive priority.
  - iv) Time line must be adhered to for seeking approval of SLSC, besides no cost over run & time over runs will be allowed.
  - 9. Further, following points should also be taken into consideration while selecting the schemes by respective DC at the district level :
  - i) The schemes are to be selected strictly in accordance with the revised guidelines (June'2015) of BADP through the District Level Committee to fulfill the gaps indicated in the Base Line Survey.
  - ii) A separate column may be inserted in the format titled 'Whether this scheme ensures convergence with any CSS, and if yes, details'. To address the critical gaps in infrastructure in the border areas, higher preference will be given to schemes which ensure convergence with existing CSS. If necessary, block wise allocation may be modified (within the district) to accommodate for such convergence.
  - iii) It may be ensure that no single sector gets a disproportionately large share of the total allocation. Sector wise break up of fund as mentioned in the guidelines should be maintained.
  - Schemes should be designed to take care of the special problems faced by people living in the border blocks, particularly in the rural areas. Schemes for rural and remote areas along the international border should be given preference over schemes for other areas. Individual schemes with detail specific monitorable specifications only are to be proposed.
  - v) Schemes should be proposed after proper scrutiny to avoid duplicacy. Since, change of scheme(s), once proposed, will not be entertained without valid reasons / justifications.
  - v) As directed by the MHA the Ongoing schemes (if any) are to be incorporated within the allocated amount. To facilitate specific component of the project may be incorporated for completion of the project in a phased manner.
  - vii) The details of trades and number of trainees, days of training to be imparted should be specifically mentioned while proposing projects under Capacity Building and Skill Development Trainig.

Contd.....P/3

- 10. Further, while submitting the schemes following should be ensured:
  - i) The schemes which will be proposed under BADP should not be proposed / taken-up from any other Central / State funded programmes including DLP, Untied Fund, MLALADs, MPLADs, CSS and normal State Plan. In this regard, the <u>Deputy Commissioners should ensure and submit Non Duplicacy Certificate (NDC) separately along with the list of schemes in Annexure IV(a) and information in Annexure V(a) & (b) without which proposals will not be considered for placing before the SLSC.</u>
  - ii) The proposals received after the stipulated date / duplicacy noticed / not covered under BADP guidelines / without relevant information and detail specification / not submitted in the prescribed format <u>will be summarily rejected</u> and fund proposed against such schemes may be diverted to other border blocks.
  - iii) The Schemes drawn against the Skill Development & Training, Central BADP funds and against the State Share should be shown separately.
  - iv) The Non Duplicacy Certificate and Certificate for consultation with MP, MLA PRIs etc must be signed by the respective Deputy Commissioners only.

This is issued with the approval of the Chief Secretary cum Chairperson SLSC on BADP.

Enclo: As stated above.

Yours faithfully

(Dr. Joram Beda), IAS Secretary (Planning) Dated Itanagar the 23<sup>rd</sup> May,2017

Memo No. PD/BADP(AAP)-1/2017-18 Copy to:

1) The PPS to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2) The PS to the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

3) The PS to the Hon'ble Parliamentary Secretary (Planning), Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

4) The PS to the Chief Secretary, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

5) The District Planning Officer, Tawang / West Kameng / East Kameng / Kurung Kumey / Kra Dadi / Upper Subansiri / Siang / West Siang / Upper Siang / Lower Dibang Valley / Dibang Valley / Anjaw / Changlang / Longding & Tirap District, Arunachal Pradesh. He is requested to ensure submission of the AAP immediately for further necessary action,

(Dr. Joram Beda), IAS Secretary (Planning)

- 7. The District Level Committee is responsible to verify the duplicacy of projects prior finalizing / submitting the AAP to Planning Department. Duplicacy, if any, detected at a latter stage the proposal will be rejected and dropped. Proportionate amount will be diverted to any other border blocks for taking up better projects.
- 8. While selecting the schemes by respective Deputy Commissioners, following directives should be strictly adhered to:
  - i) Concerned DCs will have to judiciously select the schemes strictly as per the guidelines, giving clear details of longitudinal & latitudinal coordinates, to avoid duplicacy and converge with State District Innovative funds & other CSS that are largely need based welfare oriented and income generating.
  - ii) Further, emphasis should be on Organic Farm Sector; livelihood issues, doubling of farmers income. Dovetailing it with State Rural Livelihood Mission, MGNEREGA, PMAY and AH&Vety Sector, working it with the objectives of ushering in the white revolution, sweet revolution and the blue revolutions must be the guiding philosophy of selecting and generating the portfolio schemes.
  - iii) Appraisal of Scheme will be done by the SLSC. Convergence with RD, Agri, AH&Vety, Fisheries must be the motto, this year onward. Education & Health Sectors must also receive priority.
  - iv) Time line must be adhered to for seeking approval of SLSC, besides no cost over run & time over runs will be allowed.
  - 9. Further, following points should also be taken into consideration while selecting the schemes by respective DC at the district level :
  - i) The schemes are to be selected strictly in accordance with the revised guidelines (June'2015) of BADP through the District Level Committee to fulfill the gaps indicated in the Base Line Survey.
  - ii) A separate column may be inserted in the format titled 'Whether this scheme ensures convergence with any CSS, and if yes, details'. To address the critical gaps in infrastructure in the border areas, higher preference will be given to schemes which ensure convergence with existing CSS. If necessary, block wise allocation may be modified (within the district) to accommodate for such convergence.
  - iii) It may be ensure that no single sector gets a disproportionately large share of the total allocation. Sector wise break up of fund as mentioned in the guidelines should be maintained.
  - Schemes should be designed to take care of the special problems faced by people living in the border blocks, particularly in the rural areas. Schemes for rural and remote areas along the international border should be given preference over schemes for other areas. Individual schemes with detail specific monitorable specifications only are to be proposed.
  - v) Schemes should be proposed after proper scrutiny to avoid duplicacy. Since, change of scheme(s), once proposed, will not be entertained without valid reasons / justifications.
  - As directed by the MHA the Ongoing schemes (if any) are to be incorporated within the allocated amount. To facilitate specific component of the project may be incorporated for completion of the project in a phased manner.
  - vii) The details of trades and number of trainees, days of training to be imparted should be specifically mentioned while proposing projects under Capacity Building and Skill Development Training.

Symbo, C

## Annexure -I

## Details of Allocation of Fund under BADP during 2017-18

(in Rupees) SI Name of the Allocation Grand Total No. border Blocks Normal Skill Model / Development Smart & Training Village 1 2 3 4 6 5 1 Tawang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,560/-2,51,75,560/-2 Kitpi 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-3 Lumla 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-Zemithang -4 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-Dudungkhar 5 Jang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-6 Mukto 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-7 Kalaktang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-8 Dirang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-2,51,75,555/-69,75,555/-9 Nafra 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,560/-2,51,75,560/-10 Bameng 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,560/-2,51,75,555/-11 Khenewa 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-12 Chayang Tajo 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,560/-13 Nacho 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-14 Siyum 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-15 Limiking 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,560/-2,51,75,560/-16 Huri Damin 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-17 Pipsorang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-18 Sarli 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-19 Koloriang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-20 Parsiparlo 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-21 Mechuka 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-22 Monigong 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69.75.555/-2,51,75,555/-23 Kaying Payum 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-24 Tutina 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-25 Singa-Gelling 1,67,50,000/-14.50.000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-26 Jengging 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-27 Hunli 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-28 69,75,555/-Anini Mipi 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-2,51,75,555/-29 Etalin Maliney 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-30 Anelih Arzoo 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-31 Chaglagam 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-32 Hayuliang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-33 Hawai Walong 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-34 Manchal 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-35 Khimyang 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-36 Nampong 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-37 Manmao 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-38 Khagam 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-39 Changlang \* 75,55,000/-8,32,500/-69,75,555/-1,53,63,055/-40 Lazu 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-41 Khonsa \* 75,55,000/-8,32,500/-69,75,555/-1,53,63,055/-42 Dadam \* 75,55,000/-8,32,500/-69,75,555/-1,53,63,055/-43 Pongchou 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-44 Wakka 1,67,50,000/-14,50,000/-69,75,555/-2,51,75,555/-45 Niusa \* 75,55,000/-8,32,500/-69,75,560/-1,53,63,055/-71,69,70,000/-6,27,80,000/- 31,39,00,000/-109,36,50,000/-



<sup>\*</sup> Special allocation for specific villages located within 0-20 km from the International Border.

The allocation for Border Guarding Forces are :

(Amount in Cr)

SI. No.	Name of the BGFs	Allocation
1	2	3
1	ITBP	10.00
2	Assam Rifles	1.75
3	SSB	0.8060
	Total =	12.5560

(Dr. Joram Beda), IAS Secretary (Planning)